

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAIME RODRIGUEZ-AYALA,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

8:12CR90

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Jaime Rodriguez-Ayala (Rodriguez-Ayala) (Filing No. 22). Rodriguez-Ayala seeks a continuance of the trial scheduled for May 21, 2012. Rodriguez-Ayala has submitted an affidavit in accordance with paragraph 9 of the progression order whereby Rodriguez-Ayala consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 23). Rodriguez-Ayala's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Rodriguez-Ayala's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 22) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **June 18, 2012**, before Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **May 3, 2012, and June 18, 2012**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 3rd day of May, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge